

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,849	08/31/2000	Sarath Kumar	16-11-30-5	4424	
75	590 10/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Harness Dickey & Pierce PLC			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
P O Box 8910					
Reston, VA 2	0195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2683		
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/21/200	DATE MAILED, 10/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/651,849	KUMAR ET AL.	_			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-			
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imply filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office	e extension action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>		idered but does NOT pla	ce the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were new	vly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			n			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,5,10,15,21,27,28 and 30-33</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,6,9,11,16,17,23 and 24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		,				
		•				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The claim amendments do not overcome the prior art rejections from previous Office Actions (ie. for claims 1, 11, 17 and 24, "pilot and data symbols" were taught in claim 8 while "noise variance of the received pilot symbols" was taught in claim 4). After further consideration, the examiner now objects to claims 4-5, 10, 15, 21, 27-28 and 30-33. (FYI - claim 23 currently depends from cancelled claim 20, it most like should depend from claim 17).

WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600